

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

_____)	
UNITED STATES SECURITIES)	
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)	Civil Action No. 19-cv-05957
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Hon. Franklin U. Valderrama
)	
NORTHRIDGE HOLDINGS, LTD., ET)	
AL.,)	Magistrate Judge Keri L. Holleb
)	Hotaling
Defendants.)	
_____)	

**RECEIVER’S REPORT FOR THE PERIOD OF
JANUARY 1, 2026 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2026**

N. Neville Reid, not individually, but solely as the Court-appointed receiver (the “Receiver”) for the Estate of Defendant Northridge Holdings, Ltd. (“Northridge”) and its related entities and affiliates as more particularly set forth in the Receivership Order (as defined herein) (collectively, the “Receivership Defendants” or “Receivership Entities,” and their assets as more particularly identified therein, the “Receivership Assets,” and such estate, the “Receivership Estate” or the “Estate”, and such administration, the “Receivership”), and pursuant to the requirements of the *Order Appointing Receiver* entered by the Court on September 12, 2019 [Dkt. 22] and as amended by the Court on February 13, 2020 [Dkt. 18; see also Dkt. 102] (the “Receivership Order”),¹ respectfully submits this *Receiver’s Twenty-Sixth Report* (the “Report”) *for the Period of January 1, 2026 through March 31, 2026* (the “Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period”). The purpose of this Report is to provide the Court and all interested parties with a description of the nature of the Receiver’s activities during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period.

¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall be given the same meaning ascribed to such terms in the Receivership Order.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.

1. The following chart contains a summary of the primary outstanding tasks for completing the administration of the Receivership Estate and progress made during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period:

<u>Task Description</u>	<u>Task Status</u>
General wind-up of operations and the Receivership	The Receiver continues efforts to wind down the estate pending completion of the outstanding tasks set forth herein. The tax issues have been substantially but not entirely resolved and the conditions required to close the estate have materially improved. The Receiver's desire is to close the Receivership prior to year-end 2026 or as soon as practicable thereafter.
Plans for Future Distributions	No additional distributions are planned at this time. The Receiver is evaluating remaining tax reserves as well as conducting a cost benefit analysis as to further interim distributions versus a final distribution.
Resolving insider claims	The Receiver is working in good faith with opposing counsel to settle claims against and asserted by Mr. and/or Mrs. Mueller (subject to SEC and Court-approval). Final resolution of the tax issues, however, has impact here.

II. TWENTY-FIFTH AMENDED LIQUIDATION PLAN.

2. Pursuant to the Receivership Order, the Receiver attaches his Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan as **Exhibit A** hereto (the "Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan"). [Receivership Order at ¶ 59.] The Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan provides a summary of real property sales and an update on the Receiver's efforts to identify, pursue and settle potential litigation claims, including third-party receivable claims, "finder" claims, "net winner" claims and insider claims. Accordingly, such information is not repeated in the body of this Report.

III. REAL PROPERTY ASSETS

a. Management of Real Property Assets Generally.

3. In prior reporting periods, as set forth in prior Receiver Reports, all the Receivership Estate's substantial Real Property Assets were sold and now, all of the Receivership Estate's Real Property Assets have been sold. Additional details on such sales can be found in the Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan.

b. Receivership Operations During the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period.

4. Receivership expenses and operations are run through the main Northridge Holdings, Ltd. entity and through the Amberwood entity. An accounting of that activity is attached hereto as group **Exhibit B**.

IV. BUSINESS OPERATION AND PRESERVATION OF BOOKS AND RECORDS.

5. Business operations during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period are described above. With respect to preservation of books and records, there is no update since the last report.

V. BANK AND INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS.

6. The Receiver continues to use a combination of pre- Receivership and post- Receivership accounts. Now that most of the operating assets have been sold and the Court has approved the "pooling" of assets to pay all claimants, the Receiver has closed and consolidated accounts. The Receiver has consolidated many of the Receivership accounts into one primary account at Axos Bank. As set forth in a prior report, this account at Axos Bank is now administered under Axos Bank's Insured Cash Sweep program that ensures the Receivership's funds are all FDIC insured. The Receiver continues to use Receivership accounts to collect liquid assets of the Receivership Estate and to pay the administrative and other ordinary course expenses of the Receivership Estate.

VI. CASH, ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, UNENCUMBERED FUNDS, AND RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

7. The Receiver's Standardized Fund Accounting Report ("SFAR") for the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. The SFAR sets forth certain funds received by and disbursed from the Receivership Estate during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period. As reported in the SFAR, the amount of cash on hand in the Receivership Accounts (in the Receivership Estate) as of March 31, 2026 was **\$2,500,970.02**. The information set forth in the SFAR is based upon information and records available to the Receiver as of the date of this Report.

8. The Operating Accounts had a collective balance of \$4,932.39 as of March 31, 2026.

9. Collectively, the Receivership Estate had approximately **\$2,505,902.41** of cash on hand as of March 31, 2026.

10. The approximate amount of administrative expenses incurred during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period is summarized as follows²:

Professional	Month/Year	Fees & Costs Incurred
Fox Swibel	January 1, 2026 – March, 2026	\$12,232.00
AlixPartners	January 1, 2026 – March, 2026	\$385.00
KRD	January 1, 2026 – March, 2026	\$682.00
ALAP	January 1, 2026 – March, 2026	\$830.00
<u>Total</u>		<u>\$14,129.00</u>

² The Receiver is in the process of reviewing all professionals' invoices, and thus the fees and costs stated herein are subject to modification/reduction. All finalized fees and costs of professionals approved by the Receiver will be set forth in the forthcoming fee application covering the Twenty-Fifth and Twenty-Sixth Reporting Periods.

VII. WORK PERFORMED BY PROFESSIONALS

a. Fox Swibel.

11. Fox Swibel's work during the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period related primarily to the following:

- a. Assisting the Receiver with all tasks related to complying with the Court's previous order relating to distributions and resolving all investor issues relating to the prior approved investor distributions;
- b. Continuing work relating to seeking to obtain certainty/finality as to the federal and state taxes owed/paid by the Receivership Entities;
- c. Completing the all prior Interim Distributions and resolving related issues; and
- d. Monitoring the Investor hotline to more cost efficiently respond to Investor questions and issues. Fox Swibel, pursuant to SEC billing guidelines, does not bill for routine Investor inquiries.

b. Forensic Accountant.

12. During the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period, AlixPartners LLP ("AlixPartners"), the Receiver's forensic accountant performed minor (less than an hour) of administrative work.

c. Tax Accountant, Forensic Tax Accountant and Tax Consultant.

13. During the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period, Kutchins, Robbins & Diamond Ltd. ("KRD")³ continued to provide accounting, tax, payroll, and related services for the Receivership and the Receivership Defendants, including work related to tax issues related to the Court-approved investor distributions and seeking finality from the IRS on tax issues.

14. During the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period, ALAP Limited ("ALAP") assisted KRD in providing accounting, tax, and related services for the Receivership and the Receivership

³ KRD merged with another accounting firm, Aprio, as of January 1, 2025. Lois West remains the primary contact and biller for KRD and is providing services at the same hourly rate.

Defendants, including work related to tax issues related to the Court-approved investor distributions and seeking finality from the IRS on tax issues.

VIII. COMMUNICATIONS WITH INVESTORS.

15. During the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period, the Receiver has primarily communicated with Investors through: (a) the northridgereceiver.alixpartners.com website; (b) the Investor hotline now monitored by Fox Swibel; and (c) the Receiver and his legal team's direct communication with Investors, much of which was related to the Court-ordered distributions (e.g. updating their information and payment instructions for the current distributions) and case status generally. All calls were responded to (and the Receiver and his legal team are not charging for this time in accordance with the SEC's Billing Instructions unless the call is with respect to substantive claims resolution and/or Distribution Plan and/or Interim Distribution related issues). With respect to the website, it has been continually updated throughout the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period.

IX. LIABILITIES/INVESTOR CLAIMS.

16. As set forth above, in conjunction with the claims process, the Receiver has completed his investigation regarding the existence and nature of potential liability and Investor claims. Based on the analysis performed to date, such Investor claims are generally as described in the SEC's *Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order to Prevent Violations of the Federal Securities Laws, to Appoint a Receiver, and Provide for Other Ancillary Relief* [Dkt. 3].

17. To date, based on work performed prior reporting periods and the passing of the relevant Bar Date, the amount of claims against the receivership which the Receiver presently does not have objection to is approximately \$41,874,000.00. Additionally, one claim in the amount of approximately \$170,000.00 is presently in dispute. As a result, the Receiver presently estimates

the non-administrative claims against the Receivership Estate to be between \$41,874,000.00 and \$42,089,000.00.

X. LIQUIDATED AND UNLIQUIDATED CLAIMS

18. The Receiver's investigation of liquidated and unliquidated claims is ongoing.

XI. OPEN LITIGATION

19. As of the end of the Twenty-Sixth Reporting Period, no open litigation exists.

XII. RECEIVERSHIP ASSET DESCRIPTION

20. The assets of the Receivership Estate are described in the attached Twenty-Third Amended Liquidation Plan which in turn includes descriptions of anticipated and/or proposed dispositions of such assets. At this time, the Receiver anticipates liquidating substantially all of the Receivership Assets. With respect to any assets that have no net benefit to the Estate, the Receiver intends to seek Court-approval to abandon them. Therefore, no assets are expected to be retained.

XIII. KNOWN CREDITORS

21. Now that the Bar Date has passed, the creditor body is fully known and is described above and in the previously filed Distribution Plan Motion.

XIV. STATUS OF CREDITOR CLAIMS PROCEEDINGS

22. The Bar Date has passed, and the creditor body is known as set forth above.

23. As of this filing, there is one unresolved claim dispute (Mrs. Mueller) which the Receiver is seeking to resolve via settlement.

XV. RECOMMENDATION FOR CONTINUATION OF RECEIVERSHIP

24. In order to ensure the orderly liquidation of the Receivership Estate and equitable distribution of the funds obtained from such liquidation, the Receiver recommends that the Receivership continue.

Dated: April 30, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

N. Neville Reid, Receiver

By: /s/ Ryan T. Schultz

N. Neville Reid
Ryan T. Schultz
Kenneth M. Thomas
Fox Swibel Levin & Carroll LLP
200 West Madison Street, Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: 312.224.1200
Fax: 312.224.1201
nreid@foxswibel.com
rschultz@foxswibel.com
kthomas@foxswibel.com

General Counsel to the Receiver

EXHIBIT A

(Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 19-cv-05957
)	
v.)	Hon. Franklin U. Valderrama
)	
NORTHRIDGE HOLDINGS, LTD., ET AL.,)	
)	Magistrate Judge Keri L. Holleb Hotaling
Defendants.)	
)	

RECEIVER’S TWENTY-FIFTH AMENDED LIQUIDATION PLAN

N. Neville Reid, not individually, but solely as the Court-appointed receiver (the “Receiver”) for the Estate of Defendant Northridge Holdings, Ltd. (“Northridge”) and its related entities and affiliates as more particularly set forth in the Receivership Order (as defined herein) (collectively, the “Receivership Defendants,” and their assets as more particularly identified therein, the “Receivership Assets,” and such estate, the “Receivership Estate,” and such administration, the “Receivership”), and pursuant to the powers vested in him by the *Order Appointing Receiver* entered by the Court on September 12, 2019 [Dkt. 22], as amended by the Court on February 13, 2020 [Dkt. 108; see also Dkt. 102] (the “Receivership Order”),¹ respectfully submits this *Twenty-Fifth Amended Liquidation Plan* (the “Liquidation Plan”).

I. COVID-19 IMPACT

1. As set forth more fully below, the unprecedented COVID-19 crisis impacted the Receiver’s operation and liquidation of the Receivership Assets but did not prevent the closing of

¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall be given the same meaning ascribed to such terms in the Receivership Order.

the sale of nearly all of the real estate holdings of the estate, yielding substantial net proceeds totaling nearly \$40 million.

II. REAL ESTATE ASSETS

2. The Receivership Assets included the following real estate (collectively, the “Real Estate Assets”): (a) a 96-unit apartment building located in Addison, Illinois (the “Chablis Property”); (b) a 576-unit apartment building located in West Chicago, Illinois (the “Timber Lake Property”); (c) a 192-apartment complex located in Bartlett, Illinois (the “Bartlett Lake Property”); (d) 48 condominiums located at 106 Surrey, Glen Ellyn, Illinois (the “Surrey Property”); (e) an office building located at 5097 N. Elston, Chicago, Illinois (the “Elston Property”); (f) a condominium unit located in Palatine, Illinois (the “Palatine Property”); (g) a condominium located at 3470 E. Coast Avenue, Unit #1003, Miami, Florida 33137 (the “Miami Property”); and (h) 36 vacant residential lots located near Palms Springs, California (the “Vacant Lots Property”).

3. As part of the marketing and sales process for the Real Estate Assets (subject to sale orders), the Receiver sought professional guidance in order to maximize the value of the Real Estate Assets. With respect to each Real Estate Asset, the details of each sale follows:

a. Chablis Property (Sale Closed).

4. The Receivership Order authorized Defendant Glenn C. Mueller (“Mueller”) to consummate a pending sale of the Chablis Property. [Dkt. 22, ¶ 40.] The Chablis Property closing occurred on September 20, 2019. In connection therewith, the Receiver (a) reviewed closing documents to ensure compliance with the Receivership Order; (b) ensured proper payment of the outstanding mortgage loan balance encumbering the Chablis Property; and (c) secured receipt of the remaining proceeds into the Receivership Estate. [*Id.* at ¶¶ 40(h)-(j).] The Receivership Estate

was paid \$3,882,611.02 at closing.²

5. Soon after the closing, the Receiver determined that the mortgage lender had failed to timely turn over a mortgage loan overpayment and tax escrow balance to the Receiver. Accordingly, the Receiver made a demand for turnover of the same and subsequently filed a *Motion to Enforce Receivership Order and For Entry of an Order Compelling J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. to Account for and Turn Over Receivership Assets to the Receiver* (the “Turnover Motion”). [Dkt. 53.] The Receiver and mortgage lender subsequently resolved the Turnover Motion consensually, resulting in the Receiver’s recovery of an additional (approximately) \$82,000.00 into the Receivership Estate.

b. Bartlett Lake Property (Sale Closed).

6. The Receiver engaged Essex Realty Group, Inc. (“Essex”) as real estate broker for the Bartlett Lake Property. [See Dkt. 62.] Additionally, the Receiver sought and obtained Court approval for sale procedures for the Bartlett Lake Property. [See Dkts. 72, 92.] On February 27, 2020, the Receiver filed his *Motion to Authorize Sale of Real Estate and Related Relief (Bartlett Property)* (the “Bartlett Sale Motion”). [Dkt. 110.] The Bartlett Sale Motion sought approval of the sale of the Bartlett Lake Property to Monument Capital Management IV, LLC, an affiliate of Monument Capital Management (the “Bartlett Buyer”), for a purchase price of \$19.3 million. Approval of the Bartlett Sale Motion was delayed due to Mueller’s assertion of a potential objection and the COVID-19 crisis. The objection was resolved consensually. On March 31, 2020, the Court entered an order approving the sale (the “Bartlett Sale Order”). [Dkt. 131.] Under

² With respect to all of the Real Estate Assets, the Receiver is still investigating the tax treatment of sales of the same and is working with his accountants to minimize any tax burden. As a result, all amounts realized or estimated at closings are in pre-tax dollars.

the relevant agreement, the sale was required to close on or before May 7, 2020.³

7. In the weeks immediately following entry of the Bartlett Sale Order, the COVID-19 crisis worsened, thereby negatively impacting, among other things, residential real estate values. As a result, the Bartlett Buyer requested certain concessions from the Receiver, including a purchase price reduction. The Receiver determined in his business judgment that preserving the transaction through a reasonable price reduction outweighed – and protected against – the risk and uncertainty of navigating a renewed marketing and sale process amidst the pandemic. Accordingly, the Receiver filed a motion seeking (i) approval of the sale to the Bartlett Buyer at a reduced purchase price (\$17.8 million) and (ii) related relief. [Dkt. 143.] On May 19, 2020, the Court entered an order approving the sale of the Bartlett Lake Property at the reduced purchase price. [Dkt. 147.]

8. On June 24, 2020, the sale of the Bartlett Lake Property closed. At closing, after payment of all encumbrances and closing costs (secured lender, commissions, etc.), the Receivership Estate realized **\$7,810,079.26** in net proceeds from the sale of the Bartlett Lake Property. Shortly after closing, the lender for the Bartlett Lake Property refunded to the Receivership Estate **\$230,029.20** in tax, insurance and reserve escrows. Post-closing, the Receiver received approximately **\$34,000.00** relating to post-closing rental collections and pro-rations.

c. Surrey Property (Sale Closed).

9. The Receiver engaged 33 Realty as real estate broker for the Surrey Property. On December 10, 2019, the Receiver filed his *Motion for Court Approval of: (A) Rates to be Charged by Receiver's Real Estate Broker (Surrey Property) and (B) Sales Procedures (Surrey Property)*. [See Dkt. 82.] On January 6, 2020, the Court granted this motion. [Dkt. 93.]

³ Under the executed contract, the buyer retained the option to extend the closing date by posting additional non-refundable earnest money.

10. After completion of the marketing process, the Receiver selected an offer with which to proceed. On April 28, 2020, the Receiver filed his *Motion (1) to Authorize Sale of Real Estate and Related Relief (Surrey Property) and (2) For Partial Relief from the Court's COVID-19 Order* (the "Surrey Sale Motion"). [Dkt. 138.] The Surrey Sale Motion sought approval of the sale of the Surrey Property to Wildwood Property Ventures, LLC (the "Surrey Buyer") for a purchase price of \$5.7 million.⁴ In the interest of efficiently closing the transaction during the COVID-19 crisis and related market uncertainty, the Receiver filed the Surrey Sale Motion while due diligence remained pending and the Surrey Buyer's financing contingency remained outstanding (i.e., the Receiver filed the Surrey Sale Motion so that any objection period and the due diligence/contingency period would run concurrently).

11. During due diligence, the Surrey Buyer identified certain issues with the Surrey Property, and the COVID-19 crisis continued to harm the real estate market. As a result, the Surrey Buyer requested a modest purchase price reduction. After good faith negotiations between the parties, the Receiver agreed to reduce the purchase from \$5.7 million to \$5.425 million in exchange for the Surrey Buyer's agreement to solidify the certainty of the transaction by (a) increasing its earnest money deposit from \$100,000 to \$400,000, and (b) waiving any outstanding due diligence and financing contingencies.

12. On May 29, 2020, the Receiver simultaneously filed a motion to withdraw the Surrey Sale Motion [Dkt. 153] and an amended motion for approval of the sale of the Surrey Property (the "Amended Surrey Sale Motion"). [Dkts. 152, 153.] The Amended Surrey Sale Motion sought approval of the sale of the Surrey Property to the Surrey buyer at the reduced

⁴ In a prior version of the Receiver's Liquidation Plan, the Receiver stated that he had obtained a broker opinion of value in excess of \$8 million. That valuation, however, was for all sixty (60) units – of which the Receivership Estate owned just forty-eight (48) – and was completed prior to the COVID-19 crisis.

purchase price. On June 2, 2020, the Court granted the Receiver's request to withdraw the Surrey Sale Motion. [Dkt. 155.] After the expiration of the relevant objection period, on June 17, 2020, the Court granted the Amended Surrey Sale Motion. [Dkt. 158.]

13. On July 24, 2020, the sale of the Surrey Property closed. At closing, after payment of all encumbrances and closing costs (secured lender, commissions, etc.), the Receivership Estate received **\$2,039,766.16** in net proceeds from the sale of the Surrey Property.⁵

d. Timber Lake Property (Sale Closed).

14. In order to maximize the value of the Timber Lake Property, the Receiver's professionals recommended completing the then-pending parking lot expansion (to increase occupancy) and securing additional tenants to rent vacant units (to demonstrate demand to potential buyers) in advance of marketing the Timber Lake Property for sale. Both of these recommended steps were completed.

15. In order to select a broker for the Timber Lake Property, the Receiver solicited broker proposals from five reputable local and national brokers, conducted interviews of such brokers and checked their references. After completion of this competitive process, the Receiver selected CBRE, Inc. ("CBRE") as broker. The Receiver then sought and received the Court's approval of the commission rate to be paid to the CBRE and the sales procedures for the Timber Lake Property. [See Dkts. 112 and 156.]

16. On September 22, 2020, the Receiver filed a motion seeking authority to sell the Timber Lake Property and related relief (the "Timber Lake Sale Motion"). [See Dkt. No. 183.] In summary, the Timber Lake Sale Motion: (a) sought authority to sell the Timber Lake Property to TMIF II Timber Lake LLC, an affiliate of Turner Impact Capital for \$50.25 million;

⁵ Here, the secured lender applied all escrow balances to reduce the loan balance to be paid at closing.

(b) described the marketing process for the Timber Lake Property and the Receiver's selection of the buyer; (c) sought authority to pay the relevant secured lender; and (d) sought to add as a receivership entity Timberwood Recreational Center, Inc., a fee owner of certain parcels of the Timber Lake Property. Mueller filed an objection to the Timber Lake Sale Motion asserting that more time should be given to another potential buyer that expressed interest in purchasing the Timber Lake Property for a higher price. After a hearing on the matter, the Court denied Mueller's objection, approved the sale of the Timber Lake Property and entered orders relating to the same. [See Dkt. Nos. 209, 214, 215.]

17. This sale closed on December 9, 2020, and the Estate received (a) \$27,299,163.97 in net proceeds from that sale; and (b) \$928,064.51⁶ in escrow return post-closing. The Receiver has also collected funds for post-closing rent prorations and real estate tax re-prorations as set forth in the relevant purchase agreement.

e. Elston Property (Sale Closed).

18. The Receiver engaged Millennium Properties R/E, Inc. ("MPI") as real estate broker for the Elston Property. On January 17, 2020, the Receiver filed his *Motion for Court Approval of (A) Rates to be Charged by Receiver's Real Estate Broker (Elston Property); (B) Sales Procedures (Elston Property); (C) Rates to be Charged by Receiver's Real Estate Broker (Vacant Lots Property); and (D) Sales Procedures (Vacant Lots Property)*. [See Dkt. 96.] On February 7, 2020, the Court granted this motion. [See Dkt. 106.] Pursuant to the sale procedures, MPI marketed the Elston Property for auction, which auction was to be held on March 19, 2020. Due to the onset of the COVID-19 crisis the week of the auction, the auction was postponed.

⁶ Total escrow funds on hand as of closing were \$929,064.51. However, the lender identified a \$1,000.00 charge that was mistakenly omitted from the lender's payoff letter and the Receiver agreed to allow the lender to offset this amount from the escrow funds.

19. During the continued marketing of the Elston Property, it became clear that a significant recovery was not likely with respect to this property. As a result, the Receiver sought to sell the Elston Property at the highest price in order to limit the claim the bank would have against the Receivership Estate and minimize potential dilution of recovery for the investors.

20. A buyer was located, and the Receiver sought and obtained court approval of the sale of the Elston Property for \$650,000.00. [See Dkt. Nos. 166, 178.] This sale closed on October 19, 2020. This sale did not result in proceeds for the Receivership Estate but limited the bank's deficiency claim to \$13,821.97.

f. Miami Property (Sale Closed).

21. The Receiver engaged LG Realty Group, Inc. ("LG") to broker the Miami Property. LG marketed and solicited offers for the Miami Property for the Receiver's review and consideration. LG received offers in the \$220,000-\$235,000 range. The Receiver was finalizing an offer to purchase when the COVID-19 crisis started, and the pandemic ultimately prevented consummation of the transaction. As such, the Receiver was forced to continue to market this property, but the COVID-19 crisis impacted the marketing of the property because access to this condominium building was materially restricted.

22. On or about August 28, 2020, the Receiver received a \$198,000.00 all-cash offer with no financial contingencies. After negotiation and execution of a real estate contract, the Receiver sought and obtained court approval of the sale. [See Dkt. Nos. 180, 201.] This sale closed on November 17, 2020, and the Estate received \$178,847.12 in net proceeds from that sale.

g. Palatine Property (Sale Closed).

23. The Receivership owned a condominium unit located at 486 Lake Shore Drive, Palatine, Illinois 60067, Unit 486 (the "Palatine Property"). There have been no renters or

operations with respect to the Palatine Property during the Receivership.

24. The Receiver sought and obtained authority to sell the Palatine Property for \$145,000. [See Dkts. 88, 92.] Closing occurred on February 10, 2020. There was no mortgage on this property, and, after payment of closing costs, the Receiver received **\$129,420.28** for the Estate.

h. Vacant Lots Property (Sale Closed).

25. The Receiver engaged First Team Real Estate (“First Team”) as real estate broker for the Vacant Lots Property. On January 17, 2020, the Receiver filed his *Motion for Court Approval of (A) Rates to be Charged by Receiver’s Real Estate Broker (Elston Property); (B) Sales Procedures (Elston Property); (C) Rates to be Charged by Receiver’s Real Estate Broker (Vacant Lots Property); (D) Sales Procedures (Vacant Lots Property)*, which was granted by the Court. [See Dkt. Nos. 96, 106.] Pursuant to the proposed sales procedures, First Team was marketing the Vacant Lots Property and procured an offer for \$36,000.00. On August 6, 2021, the Receiver filed his *Motion to Authorize Sale of Real Estate (California Vacant Lots) and For Related Relief* (the “Vacant Lots Sale Motion”). [Dkt. No. 276] On August 27, 2021, the Vacant Lots Sale Motion was granted an order approving same entered. [Dkt. No. 284]

26. Closing occurred on January 11, 2022. There was no mortgage on this property, and, after payment of closing costs, the Receiver received **\$22,782.13** for the Estate.

III. OTHER ASSETS

a. Securities Assets.

27. The Receivership Estate includes approximately 412,190 shares of stock in The Wellness Center USA, Inc. (“WCUI” and such shares the “Shares”), through the Charles Schwab brokerage firm. The price per share as of March 31, 2026, was approximately \$0.0001. While

various creditors and insiders of the Northridge Entities claim ownership of the Shares, based on the advice of counsel and his financial consultants the Receiver has concluded that the WCUI shares should be treated and liquidated like any other asset of the receivership estate, with the proceeds distributed to investors in accordance with a court-approved distribution plan. The Receiver filed a motion seeking authority to sell the Shares, which was granted by the Court. [See Dkt Nos. 267, 270.]

28. As of this filing, a portion of the Shares have sold for a collective sale price of \$9,554.96. The Receiver, with the assistance of the Charles Schwab brokerage firm, continued to seek to liquidate the remaining Shares. Approximately 412,190 Shares remain unsold. Large sales of the Shares tend to drive down its value, and yet there are some ongoing monitoring costs to maintaining the Shares. Given the ongoing relative low valuation of the Shares, the administrative cost of monitoring their value and the closer proximity of the conclusion of the receivership case, the Receiver has decided to either attempt one final sale of all the unsold Shares or abandon them, and intends to file a motion to effect his election.

b. Glen Ellyn Property.

29. The Receiver asserted an interest in a house located at 22W371 Emerson Avenue, Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137 (the "Glen Ellyn Property"). The Glen Ellyn Property was owned by Bruce and Cheryl Swift (together, the "Swifts"), acquaintances of Mueller (the Swifts are also investors in the Receivership Entities). Regarding the pre-Receivership arrangement, in or about June 2008, Northridge paid the Swifts \$70,000 for the right to rent out the Glen Ellyn Property and keep all related rental proceeds. Under this agreement, Northridge paid all expenses associated with the Glen Ellyn Property and kept all of the rents. Upon sale, the proceeds were to be split between the parties. While the deal seems to have been amended at times (both orally and possibly

in writing), the essential terms of the deal have remained the same. The Receiver negotiated with the Swifts' attorney to (a) place the Glen Ellyn Property on the market for sale; (b) share in some of the carrying costs; and (c) come to a settlement with respect to the division of the proceeds of a sale of the property. The Swifts were then paying the carrying costs on the property (Northridge was doing so pre-Receivership). The Receiver sought and received Court approval of this settlement. [See Dkt. Nos. 134, 149.]

30. The sale of the Glen Ellyn Property closed on August 17, 2020 for \$269,900.00. The Receivership has received \$18,188.76 pursuant to the settlement with the Swifts.

c. Rocky Ridge L.P.

31. Mueller owned a 50% interest in Rocky Ridge L.P. which, in turn, was the fee owner of a large piece of vacant real estate in North Dakota (the "North Dakota Property"). The Receiver negotiated with Mueller and his legal team to sell the property with proceeds escrowed subject to further order of the Court. (Mueller's brother, Dale, also owned a percentage of this property either directly or indirectly through entity ownership.) The Receiver settled with Dale Mueller and Glenn Mueller pursuant to which the non-homestead part of the North Dakota Property (approximately 105 acres) would be sold to a buyer approved by the Receiver, and all of the proceeds of such sale (less commission and other closing costs and certain pre-closing costs to maintain the property) would be remitted to the estate and applied to future distributions. This settlement was approved by the Court. [Dkt. 360] The North Dakota Property (the portion subject to the settlement) was listed for sale and the Receiver accepted an offer for \$308,910.00. This sale has been approved by the Court. (Dkt. Nos. 377, 382, 384). The sale closed on February 13, 2024 and the Receivership received \$286,308.85 in net proceeds from the sale.

d. Life Insurance.

32. Receivership Defendant Amberwood Holdings Limited Partnership purchased a key man term life insurance policy on Mueller in the amount of \$5,000,000.00 (as the death benefit). The policy is a term policy, so it has no current cash value. The monthly premium is approximately \$3,000, which the Receiver has confirmed is an excellent rate for someone of Mueller's age (75). Based on the Receiver's further consultation with insurance market experts and brokers, the policy apparently has no material market value in part because of how the policy is structured. While the Receiver did identify two potential purchasers of the policy, neither made an offer after performing due diligence on the policy. The Receiver is continuing to evaluate all options related to the policy, including whether it can or should be sold, assigned to a trust for the benefit of the applicable creditors of the Receivership, or abandoned.

e. Litigation Claims.

33. The Receiver has identified potential litigation targets that can primarily be summarized as follows: (a) claims to recover receivables of the Receivership Defendants; (b) claims against "finders" to recover fees/commissions paid for sourcing investments in the Receivership Defendants; (c) claims against "net-winners" for amounts paid to investors over and above the cash that such investors put into the Receivership Defendants; and (d) numerous claims against the insiders of the Receivership Defendants. The Receiver also sought and received authority for settlement procedures with respect to settling claims valued at less than \$1 million. [See Dkt. Nos. 175, 191.]

34. With respect to the receivable claims, the Receiver has settled all viable claims.

35. With respect to the "finder" claims, the Receiver has settled all viable claims.

36. With respect to the "net winner" claims, the Receiver has settled all viable claims.

37. As of the date hereof, the Receiver has negotiated settlements for receivable, “finder” and “net-winner” claims collectively totaling (1) approximately \$610,000.00 in cash and (2) approximately \$676,000.00 in claim reductions — amounting to a total benefit to the Estate of approximately \$1,286,000.00. The Receiver believes he has collected substantially all of the remaining receivable, “finder” and “net-winner” claims.

38. With respect to claims against insiders, the Receiver has delayed any final resolution of those claims until the outcome of the distributions to investors and creditors can be more precisely determined. Any final settlement of such claims will be subject to review of the SEC.

f. Tax Refunds.

39. The Receiver’s tax professionals have completed all outstanding tax returns. All are filed or will soon be filed. Presently, the Receiver estimates that the Receivership Entities are entitled to approximately \$430,000.00 in tax refunds, which the Estate has already received. These refunds relate to amounts paid to the Illinois Department of Revenue on behalf of out-of-state investors as required by Illinois law. While the Receiver originally believed such payments were necessary, the Receiver’s filed returns and amended returns assert no taxable gain.

40. As set forth in the Receiver’s Distribution Plan Motion (See Dkt. No. 272) and the Order Granting Receiver’s Motion for an Order Approving Distribution Plan and Certain Other Related Relief (the “Distribution Plan Order” or “DPO”) (Dkt. No. 288), the Receiver was authorized and in fact did deduct the amount of taxes paid on behalf of each relevant Investor from such Investor’s first interim distribution (the “Deducted Amounts”) and created a reserve for the same. The Receiver recently concluded (upon advice of tax counsel) the Deducted Amounts no longer needed to be reserved in order to comply with any tax obligations of the Receivership estate.

As a result, as part of the Seventh Distribution and as approved by the Court, Receiver distributed to the applicable investors the balance of the Deducted Amounts.

g. Investigation Complete.

41. The Receiver does not believe there will be any additional material recovery from assets and claims that may constitute Receivership Assets.

Dated: April 30, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

N. Neville Reid, Receiver

By: /s/ Ryan T. Schultz

N. Neville Reid
Ryan T. Schultz
Kenneth M. Thomas
Fox Swibel Levin & Carroll LLP
200 West Madison Street, Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: 312.224.1200
Fax: 312.224.1201
nreid@foxswibel.com
rschultz@foxswibel.com
kthomas@foxswibel.com

General Counsel to the Receiver

EXHIBIT B

(Group Exhibit – Operating Entity Financials)

4:19 PM

Northridge Holdings, LTD.

04/26/26

Profit & Loss

Cash Basis

January through March 2026

	<u>Jan - Mar 26</u>
Ordinary Income/Expense	
Expense	
Alarm System	162.81
Bank Service Charges	15.00
Computer Software	405.03
Insurance	
Glenn Mueller Life Insurance	9,054.45
Workmen's Comp	189.00
Total Insurance	9,243.45
Internet	709.28
Payroll Expenses	18,920.76
Payroll Taxes	
FICA	1,173.09
FUTA	59.65
Medicare	274.35
SUTA	189.11
Total Payroll Taxes	1,696.20
Postage and Delivery	31.44
Rent	10,500.00
Repairs	
Computer Repairs	1,924.56
Total Repairs	1,924.56
Telephone	300.00
Utilities	
Gas and Electric	1,457.95
Total Utilities	1,457.95
Total Expense	45,366.48
Net Ordinary Income	-45,366.48
Net Income	<u><u>-45,366.48</u></u>

EXHIBIT C

(SFAR and Schedules)

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Northridge Holdings, Ltd. et al - Cash Basis

Receivership: Civil Court Case No. 1:2019cv05957


REPORTING PERIOD 01/01/2026-03/31/2026

FUND ACCOUNTING (See Instructions):		Current REPORTING PERIOD 01/01/2026-03/31/2026			09/12/19 to 03/31/26
		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total	Case to Date
Line 1	Beginning Balance (as of 01/01/26)			\$3,604,006.71	
	<i>Increases in Fund Balance:</i>				
Line 2	Business Income				\$117,317.98
Line 3	Cash and Securities				
Line 4	Interest/Dividend Income	\$12,128.74		\$12,128.74	\$1,416,884.00
Line 5	Business Asset Liquidation				\$45,930,900.20
Line 6	Personal Asset Liquidation				
Line 7	Third-Party Litigation				\$562,919.80
Line 8	Miscellaneous – Other	\$18.00		\$18.00	\$456.25
	Total Funds Available (Lines 1-8):			\$3,616,153.45	\$48,028,478.23
	<i>Decreases in Fund Balance:</i>				
Line 9	Disbursements to Senior Secured Lenders/Investors	\$1,103,559.37		\$1,103,559.37	\$35,958,600.32
Line 10	Disbursements for Receivership Operations				
Line 10a	Disbursements to Receiver or Other Professionals	\$2,440.00		\$2,440.00	\$3,906,212.42
Line 10b	Business Asset Expenses	\$8,913.00		\$8,913.00	\$2,616,617.77
Line 10c	Personal Asset Expenses				2,134,570.27
Line 10d	Hospital Settlements & Investment Expenses				
Line 10e	Third-Party Litigation Expenses				
	1. Attorney Fees				\$35,657.63
	2. Litigation Expenses				
	Total Third-party Litigation Expenses				
Line 10f	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds	-			
Line 10g	Federal and State Tax Payments	\$271.06		\$271.06	\$856,546.25
	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations			\$1,115,183.43	\$45,508,204.66
Line 11	Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund:	-		-	
Line 11a	Distribution Plan Development Expenses:	-		-	
	1. Fees:	-		-	
	Fund Administrator	-		-	
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)	-		-	
	Distribution Agent	-		-	
	Consultants	-		-	
	Legal Advisers	-		-	
	Tax Advisers	-		-	
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		-	
	3. Miscellaneous	-		-	

	<i>Total Plan Development Expenses</i>			-	
Line 11b	<i>Distribution Plan Implementation Expenses:</i>				
	1. Fees:				
	Fund Administrator				
	IDC				
	Distribution Agent				
	Consultants				
	Legal Advisers				
	Tax Advisers				
	2. Administrative Expenses				
	3. Investor Identification:				
	Notice/Publishing Approved				
	Plan				
	Claimant Identification				
	Claims Processing				
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center				
	4. Fund Administrator Bond				
	5. Miscellaneous				
	6. Federal Account for Investor Restitution (FAIR) Reporting Expenses				
	<i>Total Plan Implementation Expenses</i>			-	
Line 12	Total Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund			-	
Line 12a	Disbursements to Court/Other:				
	<i>Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) Fees</i>				
Line 12b	<i>Federal Tax Payments</i>				
	Total Disbursements to Court/Other:			-	
	Total Funds Disbursed (Lines 9-12):			1,115,183.43	\$46,021,188.36
Line 13	Ending Balance (As of 03/31/26):			\$2,500,970.02	\$2,500,970.02
Line 14	Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets:				
Line 14a	<i>Cash & Cash Equivalents</i>			\$4,932.39	\$4,932.39
Line 14b	<i>Investments</i>			Undetermined-	Undetermined-
Line 14c	<i>Other Assets or Uncleared Funds</i>			Investigating-	Investigating-
	Total Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets			\$2,505,902.41	\$2,505,902.41

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:		01/01/26 03/31/26			09/12/19 to 03/31/26
		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total	Case to Date
Line 15	Report of Items NOT To Be Paid by the Fund:				
	Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:				
Line 15	<i>Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses</i>				
Line 15a	<i>Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:</i>				
	1. Fees:				

	Fund Administrator	-			
	IDC	-			
	Distribution Agent	-			
	Consultants	-			
	Legal Advisers	-			
	Tax Advisers	-			
	2. Administrative Expenses	-			
	3. Miscellaneous	-			
	<i>Total Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund</i>	-			
Line 15b	<i>Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:</i>	-			
	1. Fees:	-			
	Fund Administrator	-			
	IDC	-			
	Distribution Agent	-			
	Consultants	-			
	Legal Advisers	-			
	Tax Advisers	-			
	2. Administrative Expenses	-			
	3. Investor Identification:	-			
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	-			
	Claimant Identification	-			
	Claims Processing	-			
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	-			
	4. Fund Administrator Bond	-			
	5. Miscellaneous	-			
	6. FAIR Reporting Expenses	-			
	<i>Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund</i>	-			
Line 15c	<i>Tax Administrator Fees & Bonds Not Paid by the Fund</i>	-			
	Total Disbursements for Plan Administrative Expenses Not Paid by the fund	-			
Line 16	Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:	-			
Line 16a	<i>Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees</i>	-			
Line 16b	<i>Federal Tax Payments</i>	-			
	Total Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:	-			
Line 17	DC & State Tax Payments	-			
Line 18	No. of Claims:				
Line 18a	<i># of Claims Received This Reporting Period</i>				0-
Line 18b	<i># of Claims Received Since Inception of Fund</i>				0-
Line 19	No. of Claimants / Investors:				
Line 19a	<i># of Claimants / Investors Paid This Reporting Period</i>		343		343-
Line 19b	<i># of Claimants / Investors Paid Since Inception of Fund</i>				3117-

Receiver:
 By: 
 (signature)
 N. Neville Reid

(printed name)

Receiver

(title)

Date

:

4/28/26

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Northridge Holdings, Ltd. et al - Cash Basis
 Receivership; Civil Court Case No. 1:2019cv05957
 REPORTING PERIOD 01/01/2026 -03/31/2026

Line 4 - INTEREST/DIVIDEND INCOME

Date	Bank Name	Account Name	Account No.	Payor	Description	Amount
	Axos Bank	Northridge Holdings Receivership Settlement Fund (NHRSF)		0591 Axos Bank	Interest	
1/1/3026						\$4,636.21
2/27/2026	Axos Bank	NHRSF		0591 Axos Bank	Interest	\$3,612.78
4/1/2026	Axos Bank	NHRSF		0591 Axos Bank	Interest	\$3,879.75
						<u>\$ 12,128.74</u>

Line 5-BUSINESS ASSET LIQUIDATION

Date	Bank Name	Account Name	Account No.	Payor	Description	Amount
						<u>\$ -</u>

Line 8 - MISCELANEOUS - OTHER

Date	Bank Name	Account Name	Account No.	Payor	Description	Amount
1/28/2026	Axos Bank	NHRSF		0591 Fox Swibel Levin * Carroll, LLP	Overpayment Refund	\$ 18.00
						<u>\$ 18.00</u>

Increases in Funds Balance Lines 1-8 \$ 12,146.74